The Public Meeting of the Mount Olive Township Council was called to order at 7:17pm by President Nicastro.

OPEN PUBLIC MEETINGS ACT ANNOUNCEMENT

Miss Gouveia: According to the Open Public Meetings Act, adequate notice of this meeting has been given to the Daily Record. Notice has been posted in the Municipal Building, 204 Flanders-Drakestown Road, Mount Olive Township, New Jersey and notices were sent to those requesting the same.

ROLL CALL

Present: Mr. Amianda, Mr. Ferrante, Mrs. Labow, Mr. Mania, Mr. Roman and Mr. Nicastro

Absent: Mr. Stewart

Also Present: Susan Gouveia, Deputy Township Clerk; Fred Semrau, Township Attorney; Sue Sharpe, Township Attorney; Andrew Tatarenko, Business Administrator; Claudia Tomasello, Assistant Business Administrator; and Sherry Kolody, CFO

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS

President Nicastro: Mr. Amianda, would you approve the Minutes from the March 5, 2019 Workshop and Public Meeting please?

March 5, 2019 WS & PM

Mr. Amianda: Yes, Mr. President. I make a motion for approval of Minutes of previous meetings on March 5, 2019 Work Session and Public Meeting, J. Mania and A. Roman were absent.

Mrs. Labow: Second.

President Nicastro: Any discussion? Roll Call please.

ROLL CALL: Passed with the exception of Mr. Mania and Mr. Roman who abstained on March 5, 2019 Workshop and Public Meeting Minutes

President Nicastro: Next item is, Mr. Ferrante; would you make a motion to accept Resolution RE: Self Examination of Budget?

1. Resolution RE: Self Examination of Budget.

Mr. Ferrante: One second.

Mr. Roman: Resolution . . .

President Nicastro: Just a motion to accept Resolution, Self Examination of Budget.

Mr. Ferrante: I make a motion to accept Resolution regarding the Self Examination of the Budget.

President Nicastro: Thank you.

Mr. Mania: Second.

Mr. Ferrante: Resolution number one.


ROLL CALL: Passed unanimously

CORRESPONDENCE
LETTERS FROM RESIDENTS/ORGANIZATIONS/ OTHER TOWNS

1. Email received March 4, 2019 from Sustainable Jersey regarding 6/14 Summit registration is Now Open!

2. Email received March 8, 2019 from Raritan Headwaters Newsletter regarding We Need You!

3. Email received March 13, 2019 from Sustainable Jersey regarding March Sustainability Heroes Announced.

4. Email received March 13, 2019 from NOFA-NJ regarding NOFA-NJ Upcoming Events.

LEAGUE OF MUNICIPALITIES

5. Email received March 1, 2019 from NJLM regarding Mayor’s Newsline- March 2019.

6. Email received March 13, 2019 from NJLM regarding URGENT ALERT.

STATE AGENCIES

7. Email received March 1, 2019 from NJDEP regarding NJDEP Weekly Update.


9. Letter received March 11, 2019 from State of New Jersey, Board of Public Utilities regarding In the Matter of the Petition of Aqua New Jersey, Inc. for Approval of an Increase in Rates for Water Service and Other Tariff Changes, BPU Docket No. WR18121351.

10. Email received March 8, 2019 from NJDEP regarding NJDEP Weekly Update. PDF Correspondence

11. Letter received March 8, 2019 from NJDEP regarding Freshwater Wetlands Letter of Interpretation: Line Verification, Block 107; Lot 3.

MSA / MUA

12. Letter received March 4, 2019 from MSA regarding allocations for the participating municipalities in the 4.31 MGD Water Pollution Control Plant.


MORRIS COUNTY

14. Publication received March 4, 2019 from Morris County regarding Final Equalization Table for the County of Morris for the Year 2019.


16. Letter received March 5, 2019 from Morris County Department of Public Works, Mosquito Control Division regarding Yearly Information Packet.

17. Email received March 7, 2019 from Morris County regarding This Week in Morris County: NJ Transit Public Session.

18. Email received March 10, 2019 from Morris County regarding Reminder: This Week in Morris County: NJ Transit Public Session.

19. Email received March 15, 2019 from Morris County regarding This Week in Morris County: MUA Mulch. Whippany River.
President Nicastro: We have 19 pieces of Correspondence. Does anyone have anything to say on those? Questions? Seeing none. I open the hearing to the public on Ordinance #9-2019.

ORDINANCES FOR PUBLIC HEARING

Ord.#9-2019  Bond Ordinance Providing for Sewer Improvements to the Old Flanders Area of the Township of Mount Olive, in the County of Morris, New Jersey for the Sewer Utility, Appropriating $1,000,000 Therefor and Authorizing the Issuance of $1,000,000 Bonds or Notes of the Township to Finance Part of the Cost Thereof and Directing the Special Assessment of the Cost Thereof.

President Nicastro: Mrs. Labow.

Mrs. Labow: Thank you, Mr. President. I move for adoption and final passage of Ordinance #9-2019.

Mr. Roman: Second.

President Nicastro: Anyone from the public wish to be heard at this time? On the Ordinance . . .

Mr. Petzinger: I’m not sure how this works.

President Nicastro: Oh, okay. What happens is if you have anything, you’re going to go up to the podium here and people can state their name and address for the record. I’m just going to ask everyone . . . we have a lot of people to get them in if everybody wants to speak, try to keep it to like five minutes and we’ll try . . . just so everybody can speak and you know, we’ll listen to everybody that we can.

Mr. Roman: . . . and this is for . . .

President Nicastro: Just state your name and address.

Mr. Roman: . . . this Ordinance only.

President Nicastro: This is for this Ordinance only, right now.

Mr. Petzinger: For the sewers . . .

President Nicastro: For the sewers. Right now, yeah. So, just name and address for the record in the microphone and then you’re fine.

Mr. Petzinger: John Petzinger, 70 Main . . .

Miss Gouveia: Can you spell your last name?

Mr. Petzinger: I’m sorry . . .

President Nicastro: Spell your . . .

Miss Gouveia: Can you spell your last name please?

Mr. Petzinger: P-e-t-z-i-n-g-e-r.

President Nicastro: Yes, sir.

Miss Gouveia: Thanks.

President Nicastro: Thank you.

Mr. Petzinger: I’m not sure . . . it’s my first time attending this but I know I can’t afford this. You know, maybe these people can. I can’t. I can barely make my mortgage now. All these additional costs . . . it’s going to
kill me. It’s going to kill me and my family. I already work two jobs so I don’t know how you guys came up that this is okay to shove it down the homeowners’ throats, you know, and that’s the way I’m taking it. I don’t know . . . again, I don’t know how this works but I know, you know, I can’t afford it. I don’t know what grants . . . was there grants looked into or sought after from the State of New Jersey from the Department of . . . you know, the DEP? You know, I’m not sure.

President Nicastro: I believe, just so you know, the process, I believe Andrew . . . we had sent out, the whole thing started, I mean a long time ago, obviously. There were surveys that were sent out.

Mr. Tatarenko: There were surveys done prior to . . .

President Nicastro: Prior to the . . .

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . prior to me coming . . .

President Nicastro: Right.

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . on board. Then, right before I came on board, about August, the Council passed a Resolution to move forward on this project, which is where I kind of took over. Then, from that period on, I’ve communicated two or three times with the neighborhood, with what was called an informational manual explaining the project, the cost involved, what type of sewer system was going in. It was revised a couple times because it went from a gravity system to a pressure system, so the cost changed. So, we did try to notify the public as much as possible throughout this whole process and then now obviously we’re at the point where, you know, the engineer has the designs approved by the DEP, permits are finalized, and now it’s just the point where we’re doing the public hearing for the Special Assessment before we take the next step, which would be to go out to bid for construction.

President Nicastro: Correct. Correct. We do want to hear from the public. That’s why I want to hear from everyone here tonight as well. I appreciate you coming up to let us know because we do want to hear from you.

Mr. Petzinger: That’s it? I mean, that’s . . . I mean . . . that’s . . . as long . . .

Mr. Roman: I’m sorry, I didn’t get . . .

Mr. Petzinger: . . . as you guys say it’s good to go . . .

Mr. Roman: What’s his address?

Mr. Petzinger: . . . it’s good to go?

President Nicastro: What’s your . . . I’m sorry, yeah, what is your address?

Mr. Roman: I need to get your address. What’s your address?

Mr. Petzinger: 70 Main Street, Flanders, New Jersey. I also just got notification I’ll be deploying for the fourth time in August which will leave my wife and kids with this. You know, all these additional costs, you know. You talk about the $15,000 for me, I think it’s about $15,000 to $18,000. Then you’ve got to cap it, then what? To maintain it every quarter is just another $150 bill, you know. The cost of the hook up . . . we don’t know what that is. I understand you can’t provide any contractors . . . conflict of interest or whatever but these are all costs. Like, where do you think we’re coming up with this money? I can’t. I don’t know what these guys can do, but I can’t. I mean, I bought the house in 2009 knowing that I had a septic. Negligence of your septic system does not mean . . . does not give you the right to force it down our throat. You know, if I fail to put oil in my car, are you going to buy me a new car? Negative. You know, I don’t feel that . . . I’m not opposed to the sewer project; I’m opposed to the cost that’s being put on the homeowner. I’d like you to reconsider . . . or grants, you know. Was there . . . what grants . . . was there grants sought after?

Mr. Tatarenko: There have been some grants looked into and from the agencies that I have spoken to, there were none that would offset the project at this time. You know, moving down the road they might open up, you never know. I did research a community development grant through the County which, unfortunately, that neighborhood does not qualify for those grants. There was another one that I was researching but I didn’t . . . there was no, you know, commitment on any grant funding for this project.
Mr. Petzinger: I just feel like it’s bad enough . . . it’s hard enough to live in this State. I’d like to think that, you know, if my municipality would step up and say no. You know . . . and absorb some of this. I know Toll Brothers was just built. I don’t know how much tax revenue that brought in. Siemens, I didn’t see any tax breaks for any of this. You know? Where is all that money going? Where is all that money . . . why isn’t any money allotted to the infrastructure if it’s that detrimental to the Old Flanders section then why was there no money allotted to it?

Mr. Semrau: Well, the way this works is the governing body hasn’t voted yet this evening. So, what they want to do is hear from everybody and then they’ll deliberate here and make a decision. So, your comments are . . . it’s not a done deal. It’s . . .

Mr. Petzinger: No, I understand but I . . . as Andrew . . . this has obviously been going on for a year.

Mr. Semrau: Right.

Mr. Petzinger: I travel a lot. I’m in the military, so I travel a lot, so I’m not always subjected to all of the correspondence taking place.

Mr. Semrau: Right.

Mr. Petzinger: I did know . . . I’ve reached out to Mr. . . . I mean, Andrew a couple weeks ago and he said this would be a hearing. I did receive a certified letter. Now, here I am.

Mr. Semrau: Right. With respect to the Budget, we’ll stick with this project but I understand your questions, so if I could just tell you one thing that’s important to note, that I think it’s the last seven years, the Municipal Budget has not increased. So, well, maybe the County and some other sources have, you know, or the schools . . . but the Municipal Budget has been . . . zero increase which is . . . it’s commendable. It’s the residents and the governing body doing that but to your point, I think you’ll hear other residents speak and so will the governing body and then they’ll . . . later on they’ll vote on the Ordinance or decide what they want to do. So, you’re part of the process right now.

Mr. Petzinger: I appreciate it. Thank you for your time.

President Nicastro: Thank you and thank you for your service.

Mr. Petzinger: It’s an honor.

President Nicastro: Really appreciate it.

Mr. Semrau: Yeah.

President Nicastro: Anyone else from the public wish to be heard at this time? You can go up to the podium and state your name and address if you do.

Mr. Johnston: Good evening, Council members. My name is Matthew Johnston, I’m at 19 Park Place. I’m here as a resident of the community and I’m also here because I’m a real estate attorney in Town, I think many of you know that. I also wanted to come up because I do know that there’s a lot of confusion on the one hand and also concern about financial aspects of this project. On the one hand, I feel that this is a very expensive project, but it’s one of those projects that, unfortunately in life, you’re going to have to deal with this situation at some point in time. As a real estate attorney, unfortunately, I have the opportunity to see a lot of people that try to sell a home, find out that their septic system is in failure, you’re going to have to deal with this situation at some point in time. As a real estate attorney, unfortunately, I have the opportunity to see a lot of people that try to sell a home, find out that their septic system is in failure, don’t even realize their septic is in failure, and they end up having to spend out of pocket, tremendous sums of money, depending on where you are and what type of house you have. It can far exceed the amount of money that, unfortunately, you have to put out of pocket up front to put this in. Unfortunately, Park Place for example, not as much Main Street, but Park Place . . . those of you that are familiar with the Town, of the street, Park Place is one of those roads that are very close to the river. We’re in flood plains, most of us. So, if one of our septics fails, it would be extremely expensive if not almost impossible to put a system in that would qualify under the DEP regulations. It would be exorbitantly priced. If this project did not go through, if this project does not go through, it will put most people on Park Place, including myself, in all honesty, and all the other people there that have septic systems, at a very big disadvantage both in cost and also in circumstances. I have a small business there. I know that there are other small businesses at that location. This project has been sought after by people on the street for a long time. Not because we are trying to take advantage of anyone’s bad situations or financial situations but because I think most of the people on the road know that when you do have a problem with a septic system, it has to be dealt
with one way or another. New septic systems range upwards of $50,000 depending on what type of system you have to put in. Far more than what we’re going to have to put out of pocket here. Now, I personally am going to have to take a loan out for the installation costs. My understanding is from the literature that I’ve received from the Board and from the Town that we get to pick our own contractors for the installations. There’s an opportunity that we can shop around and hopefully get someone that would work with people on Main Street and Park Place to maybe make a deal with us where if we all pool ourselves together, perhaps we can find a way to minimize the out of pockets that we’re going to have to suffer. If not, I personally am going to have to take a loan because it’s important to get the system in because my system is probably 30 some years old and I know that it’s going to have a problem probably sooner than later. For that reason, I’m taking a pragmatic stand. I don’t like putting money out of pocket. I don’t like wasting money. I would also, of course this is more to the public than to the Board, but remind you that as a real estate attorney, it’s much easier to sell your house when you have the availability of a septic, excuse me, of a sewer.

Mrs. Labow: Sewer.

Mr. Johnston: ...a sewer system as opposed to having a septic system. I go through this a lot with my realtors that I deal with. Most people coming from outside of Mount Olive aren’t familiar with them, are scared of them, and they would look at a house with a sewer system more so than a septic system if they could. It also will, although it doesn’t matter much now to the folks that aren’t selling their house, it’s going to raise your values up over time. In my experience, that’s been something that usually occurs after you have a septic system replaced with sanitary disposal systems like this. In the long run, it’ll benefit us. I think, on a personal level, it is a little pricey. It’s just part of the way it has to happen, I believe. I don’t think that the Town has been unreasonable in the amount of money that they’re talking about. It is pricey but pragmatically speaking, I think it’s a great idea. I understand also that the gas company is going to be installing gas lines at the same time that the sewer system is being installed, which would greatly benefit many of the people on the road also, lowering the cost of heating expenses over oil and other things and for that reason, I’m fully in support of it. I appreciate the time that the Board has taken in putting this together and taking it seriously and looking to the future, at least on Park Place. As a member of the community and also a local attorney, if any of the members of the public that are affected ever want to come visit with me and see if we can figure out ways to reduce the cost, I’m always open for a discussion and to try and help out as much as I can. I do want to thank the Board and I do fully support the installation of the sewer system, even though it is a costly expense. I thank you for your time.

Mrs. Labow: Thank you.

Mr. Roman: Thank you.

President Nicastro: Thank you. Anyone else, just please go up to the front and state your name and address. Whoever.

Ms. McBurney: Hi, good evening everyone. My name is Kim McBurney, M-c-B-u-r-n-e-y. I live at 60 Main Street. I’ve lived there about . . . I guess about 16, 17 years. I’m a single mother and a school teacher and I don’t know where I’m going to get the funds to pay for this. I know that it will eventually raise the costs of, you know, my property if I decide to sell, but it will also probably raise my taxes because my house will be reassessed at a higher price and then my taxes will go up, which go up every year anyway. If you ask me, I just . . . it’s overwhelming to me. I don’t like to think about it. I try to avoid it. I don’t know how, on a school teacher’s salary, I’m . . . like I said, a single mother. I don’t know how I’m going to be able to afford to do this. That’s really, basically all I have to say. Thank you.

President Nicastro: Thank you. Just state your name and address please.

Pastor Kim: Yes. Good evening. My name is Meekyung Kim, K-i-m. I live at 8 Park Place. I’m the Pastor with Flanders United Methodist Church. Linda Mason.

Ms. Mason: I’m Linda Mason and I’m one of the trustees with the church.

Pastor Kim: Yes.

Ms. Mason: I totally understand where . . .

President Nicastro: Can you . . . to the microphone please? A little bit.
Ms. Mason: I totally understand where some of the people are coming from. I’ve been there in different situations. Different towns. We are for the septic system. As the other gentleman stated, the cost, I mean, we have three buildings and the cost of replacing the septic systems would be astronomical.

Pastor Kim: Yes.

Ms. Mason: My heart does go out to people that are going to struggle paying for the installation.

Pastor Kim: So, on behalf of the Flanders . . . yes, on behalf of the Flanders United Methodist Church, we have three properties. The Church, 2 Park Place and Thrift Shop, 4 Park Place, and Parsonage, 8 Park Place. It’s a really challenging project but at the same time, we recognize that old septic systems will be failed . . . will fail sometimes. We have a hard decision in the long run. It’s time to install the sewer system. That’s our conclusion of Church Council and Trust decision. In the long run, it’s challenging but it’s time to move on . . . the next system, sewer system. That’s our church’s decision. We want to vote for it. We want to favor it, for the sewer system installation. Obviously, it’s challenging because we have three properties, which is more challenging, three times as challenging. At this point, we want to vote for the system. Yes, sewer project. That’s where we are. Thank you.

Ms. Mason: Thank you.

President Nicastro: Thank you. Anyone else at this time want to get up to speak please?

Mr. Williams: Don Williams, 26 Flanders-Drakestown Road, but I own property down on Main Street. My concern is, I’ve never seen this Township bring anything in on Budget. I’d really like to see a citizens committee of just plain people. There’s a lot of smart people who live down in this area and I’d like to see a committee oversee this . . . the cost on this project because this thing can get out of hand. You value it at a million. I’ve never seen you bring anything under . . . in under more than three times what the original cost was supposed to be. As it is now, I did some quick math and without . . . we’re supposed to do this in 20 payments, twice a year . . . it’s about $750 a payment and that’s without interest. What is the interest rate on this? You know, there’s a lot of questions. What type of a system are you putting in? Is this a pressure system? A vacuum system? Or is it going to be gravity? I mean . . . there is a way to get a gravity system down through there because it’s flat, if you start in the middle of Flanders and go straight out through the meadows. Otherwise, you’ve got a 35-foot mountain and if you put in a gravity peak, you’re going to be 45 feet into the ground which is impractical. Has anybody researched this? Do we have engineers from the area that worked on this? Real engineers, you know, . . .

President Nicastro: We do.

Mr. Williams: . . . that know what they’re doing. You know, these are my questions. I realize a lot of the houses there need this system but I’m just scared of this Township. I really am.

President Nicastro: Alex has . . . Mr. Roman . . . Gene’s going to . . . Buczynski, the engineer is here, so . . .

Mr. Buczynski: I’m real.

President Nicastro: A real engineer.

Mr. Roman: First off . . . first off, Mr. Williams, unfortunately I feel like I need to address your previous comments that, that all our projects go . . . end up being three times over cost. There’s not been a single project that I am aware of, and I doubt you could ever find, since I’ve been part of this Council, that has ever, ever come anywhere near close to going over Budget. Also, when you make the statement that this was not researched, I don’t think you’ve been paying attention or maybe not reading your mail, but we’ve been at this for what, over six years? Between engineers and plans . . .

Mr. Williams: Tell me what kind of system is going in there.

President Nicastro: That’s what we have the engineer behind . . .

Mr. Roman: I will let the expert and the real engineer answer that for you.

Mr. Williams: Okay . . .
Mr. Buczynski: We went through different alternatives. We started with a gravity sewer. There’s concerns with the gravity sewer and also getting underneath the existing culvert. The system is a low-pressure system with grinder pumps at each of the properties. It will be . . . it saves money compared to the other systems because in order to get into where we’re going to tie into existing pipe and manhole in Flanders Crossing, it was going to be way too expensive. So, that’s when we went to the low-pressure system. Basically, it’ll be a two-inch line in the roadway and the laterals would be an inch and a half laterals going to the grinder pump. Then from the grinder pump, to the house, that’s the homeowner’s responsibility. It’s probably the least expensive system compared to . . . a lot less expensive than gravity sewer. I believe you received some information regarding the system, right?

Mr. Williams: Yeah.

Mrs. Labow: Yes.

President Nicastro: It all went out.

Mr. Buczynski: That’s, in a nutshell, what it is. Roughly 2,800 feet of mainline, two-inch pipe, there’s another 2,000 feet roughly, of laterals, forty grinder pumps . . . the estimated cost was $609,000 but we put in a 20 percent contingency, just in case for unseen things, and that would come up to about $831,000. That’s the engineering, construction cost of it and I can guarantee you it’s not going to be three times the cost.

President Nicastro: Mr. Roman . . .

Mr. Roman: Andrew, the proposed plans and so far, all the materials regarding this project, are they available for the public to see whenever they wish?

Mr. Tatarenko: Yes, we do have a set of plans. We brought one here with us.

Mr. Buczynski: I have two sets of plans I can leave with you, if you’d like.

Mr. Tatarenko: Yeah, we can leave them here.

President Nicastro: You can make them available.

Mr. Roman: Thank you.

President Nicastro: Mrs. Labow.

Mr. Buczynski: Anything else at this point?

Mrs. Labow: Yes, um, Gene . . . this way. Look at the dais, Gene.

President Nicastro: Colleen’s calling. I know it comes out of the back.

Mr. Buczynski: It comes out of the back.

Mrs. Labow: I know . . .

President Nicastro: It does.

Mrs. Labow: . . . it comes out of the back. The grinder pumps, are they included in the Township part of it?

Mr. Buczynski: Yes.

Mrs. Labow: Not the homeowners.

Mr. Buczynski: No . . .

Mrs. Labow: . . . and . . .

Mr. Buczynski: . . . the Town pays for the main and the lateral, up to the grinder pumps . . .

Mrs. Labow: . . . grinder pumps.
Mr. Buczynski: . . . including the grinder pumps.

Mrs. Labow: Right. Also, I just want to point out with the grinder pumps, the Town will always take care of those for maintenance. It’s not going to be . . .

Mr. Buczynski: That’s up to . . . that’s why I haven’t said . . .

Mrs. Labow: Well, that’s . . . that’s what we’ve done before.

Mr. Tatarenko: Yes.

Mrs. Labow: Right, because that’s how we’ve done it with the other systems.

Mr. Buczynski: Budd Lake.

Mrs. Labow: Yeah, Budd Lake, when there’s grinder pumps because we wanted to make sure that they were serviced properly and effectively, so that’s going to be, thankfully a price . . . concern you won’t have to have.

Mr. Buczynski: Okay.

Mrs. Labow: Thank you.

Mrs. Winch: Hi, my name’s Nanette Winch and unfortunately, my husband Ray couldn’t be here tonight. He’s working. So, he prepared a statement that I’d like to read to you on behalf of myself and my husband. We live at 27 Park Place, Flanders. We also own and operate Walt’s Barber Shop at the same address. We strongly support the proposed sewer extension. We are concerned to hear that there is a possibility that the sewer extension for Old Flanders may not pass due to recent complaints by some residents. We would like to note that it is virtually impossible to get 100 percent agreement for this type of improvement. There will always be some opposition. Every individual has a different circumstance. Some may be elderly and feel their septic system will outlive them. Some may live alone and feel their septic is adequate for their needs. But while everyone has their own particular circumstance, it is important to consider that individuals come and go but the properties remain. One of the most vocal opponents from the last time sewers were proposed has since moved and someone different lives there now. The more relevant question is “Do the properties need sewer service?” All you need to do is walk down Park Place and it becomes immediately apparent that these properties are a textbook example of the type of lots that need sewer service. Most of the lots would be considered non-conforming if you had to install a septic system today. In many cases, it is impossible to meet the required setbacks. To make matters worse, we are in the Highlands Zone and many of the properties back up to Drakes Brook and other watercourses. Eventually, the band-aid style patches we are doing to our septic systems will not be allowed. As residents and second-generation business owners, we are concerned that in the future, the State will pass stricter environmental regulations without taking into consideration our ability to comply. This will force us to install alternative systems that are likely to be extremely expensive to install and maintain. This poses a huge financial risk for this area. By extending sewer service, this governing body can eliminate the risk and solve a problem that will only get worse with time. The window of opportunity to fix this problem is closing. The sewage treatment plant currently has capacity reserved for Old Flanders. If we do not extend sewers now, the capacity will not be there when the situation inevitably turns from serious to critical. If the Council does not approve this proposal, it will simply be kicking the can down the road to a time when there will be few, if any good options. Regarding support for this proposal, it has been our experience that there is overwhelming support. When we spoke to Dorsey & Semrau on the phone regarding the signatures for accessing the grinder pumps, the person handling this matter noted that he quickly received signatures from the majority of the letters. He said that it is uncommon to receive that enthusiastic a response to a mailer asking for signatures. We understand that the estimated $12,000 hookup cost may be difficult for some residents. There is, however, precedent to defer the hookup requirement for the elderly, those who are more than 100 feet from the sewer line, and those who can demonstrate financial hardship. All residents would of course be required to pay the assessment. Since the assessment will be payable over 15 or 20 years that should not cause financial hardship and it will provide protection to those with financial struggles when their septic system inevitably fails. Rather than having to install a costly new system, they can simply hook up to the existing sewer line. It is better to defer hookup for the few who are having difficulty, than it is to put the majority at great financial risk. Water service was extended to Park Place in the early 1970’s. We paid off the water assessment over time and I can honestly say that in the time between then and now, I have never heard anyone say they wish they had a well instead of city water. We are thankful that the Town Council of 1971 had the foresight and the care to be good stewards for the Old Flanders section of Town. Given that there is overwhelming support for this project, the properties are badly in need of this service, the treatment plant has available capacity, interest rates are low, and there has been a significant amount of planning and work already invested, we encourage the Council to vote to approve this.
President Nicastro: Thank you.

Mr. Roman: Thank you.

President Nicastro: Anyone else form the public at this time?

Ms. Anderson: Hi everyone. I’m Susan Anderson and I’m on 63 Main Street. I’ve lived here my whole life. My father actually put in those waterlines that Nanette talked about and I’ve seen the homeowners change hands. They were older. Now it’s a much younger crowd and some are a product of divorce, like I am, and some are up and coming with little kids; one income homes. I personally cannot afford it. I’m a full-time student; I make ten dollars an hour. To do this, would be . . . I don’t know how I would pay for it at all. I can’t get a loan, my credit’s shot . . . not here to tell you my sob story but I’m sure there are other homeowners in similar situations as me. Maybe they can get a loan to offset it but on one income, it’s extremely hard. So, if I can’t pay that $12,000, I can’t hook up, then what happens? You’re going to keep fining me and fining me on top of the taxes I can barely pay now? I’m always late on my taxes . . . getting my house out of tax sale. With going to school right now, full-time for the next two years, I just can’t do it. I just can’t do it. I can’t sell my house. What am I supposed to do? Because I know . . . I understand all the pros of the sewer going in and how it raises the value of your homes . . . I get both sides but right now, my hands are tied and I just cannot afford it. Yeah, every day when I flush that toilet, take a shower, I do think of my septic overflowing and the consequences. Do I need a new septic? So, it’s a constant worry but right now, I just can’t afford it. Thank you.

President Nicastro: Thank you. I saw someone else. Anyone else want to get up?

Mr. Bamber: Good evening. Johnathan Bamber, 31 Park Place.

Miss Gouveia: Can you just repeat your name?

President Nicastro: I’m sorry, name and address . . .

Miss Gouveia: Could you repeat your name? I’m sorry.

Mr. Bamber: 31 Park Place, it’s Bamber, B-a-m-b-e-r.

President Nicastro: Thank you.

Mr. Bamber: You know, I take into careful consideration the cost and I purchased my house about two years ago and at that time, my septic was found to be in a good standing. I feel that this will create a tremendous financial burden for me. I’ve expressed this to Andrew in the many phone calls that we’ve had. With that being said, I do sympathize with those that are affected with the system. I do commend the Committee for their hard work in trying to keep the cost of said system down. However, the financial burden for what is essentially an amenity that I have and is functioning and I understand every household has a different use case; has a different demand of what needs to be repaired. I put a tremendous amount of money into my heating system and correcting things in my water pipes. I simply can’t afford this. I’ve come . . . I know I’m new to Flanders. I know many of you have lived in this area for a very long time but I’ve made Flanders my home. I’d like to keep my home. So, I strongly urge the Committee to reconsider this. Thank you.

President Nicastro: Thank you. Anyone else from the public?

Mr. Webb: Hello and thank you for the opportunity. My name is Dale Webb, 22 Park Place and also 57 Main Street. I have lived in Flan . . . well, I have not lived in but I grew up in Flanders. I was born there. My brother still lives in the house that my father purchased in 1929. So, our family’s been in Flanders for a long, long time. I do understand and concerns both ways . . . my brother is a handicapped person and he lives by himself but he does work and he is able to maintain staying in the house in Flanders since my mother passed away ten years ago. So, I understand the rob Peter to pay Paul type of situation that this kind of puts people in. The only thing I would like to say is I am in favor of it, even though it does continue to put me in that rob Peter to pay Paul situation and I just think that, you know, as time goes, we all find a way to get by. That’s just what I would like to say. I am in favor of it even though it is a financial burden. It’s going to continue to be a financial burden as long as I want to keep my brother living in Flanders. So, but I also see the desperate need for it because our family has lived there for so long and I know, you know, septic tanks, I think a good thing for Flanders.

Mr. Roman: Septics or sewers?
Mrs. Labow: Sewers.

Mr. Webb: Or sewers, I’m sorry. Sewer system is a good thing for Flanders so that’s all I would like to say. Thank you.

Mr. Roman: Thank you.

President Nicastro: Thank you. Does anyone else from the public wish to be heard at this time?

Mr. Johnston: May I say one more thing?

President Nicastro: If you would like, go ahead.

Mr. Johnston: Again, Matt Johnston, 19 Park Place. Listening to everyone talking, it comes to my mind that it doesn’t sound like there’s many people who are against the system as a whole, it’s against the system for the cost. My request for the Council, and this is certainly up to you, but under the circumstances, there are many people here who probably are going to have very significant hardships. If there is a way that the Council can come up with a compromise of some sort that would allow for people that can show a hardship, to be extended an amount of time to be able to or to be forced to hook up. I understand that may have a cost on the other side, but if the Council would at least consider that, it may make the overall project more workable for some of the people that you’ve heard from today. It is just a request that the Council consider opening up or expanding the exemptions or at least the timeframe exemptions for some of the people that can show an actual hardship. I’m not going to say a loan is a hardship. It’s a pain in the butt but it’s not a hardship. I’m talking about for some of the folks I’ve heard, it sounds to me that maybe there’s a way that the Town can accommodate them under the circumstances and still put the project together. If the Council can find a way to do that, I certainly would think it would be helpful for the community, so, thank you.

President Nicastro: Thank you. Does anyone else from the public? Yes.

Ms. Lamm: Maureen Lamm, L-a-m-m, 71 Main Street. I’m not a public speaker but I’m listening to everybody and I just want to say we are for the sewer system. I know we hear more of the negative, you know, the people that are against it. I ditto everything that Ray Winch wrote and his wife read. Particularly that our systems that we have . . . my system’s good. I’m a plumber’s daughter, I know how to keep a system good, but if our systems were to be put in today, they wouldn’t meet the standards that are required. So, it is something we need to upgrade and bring to the present level of quality and life. So, I say we are for the system.

President Nicastro: Thank you. Anyone else from the public at this time? Anyone else? Seeing none. I will close it to the public. Listening to everyone’s comments and the concerns and all, and Andrew, you know, and Council, you know . . . we know that it’s something that eventually it has to get done at some point because of the issues that are down in that area will develop. That’s why we looked at this. It’s been going on for years and years and we understand that, but I also understand the other side of it. Listening to people about the financial burden of it . . . and I’m not going to propose that we don’t go forward with it. What I’m going to propose is that we table it and that we find some other alternate ways, if there’s ways before we finalize it. Are there ways to find other revenue that we can find? Are there other ways to offset costs; do anything we can do before we final vote on this to see if there’s any opportunities where we can help or do what we can do to try to make it a better, you know, a better price? Or find ways to help the people that have financial hardships, I’m not sure. Fred, you have anything you want to add to that, Fred?

Mr. Semrau: Yeah, I mean, I understand what you’re saying, Council President . . . that from a standpoint of the need to do this but your concern is just the financial hardship.

President Nicastro: Correct.

Mr. Semrau: I can’t say that there’s anything definitive out there that would . . . that comes to mind where one particular property owner would be treated differently than others, but in the same vain, perhaps there’s a way we could look at to structure this. We can at least investigate . . .

President Nicastro: Right.

Mr. Semrau: . . . if there’s a different way to structure this. I don’t know if Andrew . . . Andrew spent a lot of time trying to get to this point and streamline all of the costs and these efficiencies so, I defer to him to talk a little bit further about that.
President Nicastro: Yeah, just to see if there’s anything else.

Mr. Tatarenko: We will . . . we can go back to the drawing board. It seems like one of the biggest concerns is the upfront costs of . . .

President Nicastro: Right.

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . closing down the septic.

Mr. Semrau: Right.

Mr. Tatarenko: Initially, when we spoke to our Bond Counsel, since that part of the project is technically part of, you know, private property and part of the homeowner’s responsibility, that part if the project was not included in the financing of the project. We could go back and see if somehow, we could still try to include that part of it, so that could bring down the cost a little bit.

Mr. Semrau: Yeah, that would bring it down . . . like . . . just based on all the estimates. They’re only estimates, right?

President Nicastro: Right.

Mr. Tatarenko: Mhm.

Mr. Semrau: If it’s $12,000 to $15,000 to close a system, and we’re able to, and again, like you said, we’re on private property; there are some issues . . . but if we’re able to work out a way to do that, then that’s a payment of like $500 a year . . .

President Nicastro: A year.

Mr. Semrau: . . . over time.

President Nicastro: Right.

Mr. Semrau: That takes the upfront cost and that’s where, you know, even those people who are having credit difficulties, the Township is basically . . . although we can’t fund the project, we can back the project and give every resident an opportunity and the collateral is the sense that it’s a municipal obligation. So, it’s against your property if you don’t pay, but you don’t have to go out and find that and at the same time, we don’t have to worry and none of the residents have to worry if someone leaves their septic open. We’d have to make sure we can do that because as the administrator said, there could be issues that Bond Counsel has with respect to the lending aspect of this because it involves going on private property. It sounds like that may make a difference as to where we are right now, if we were able to . . . to figure out a way to significantly diminish the upfront cost because you weigh that against someone who has a . . . you know, tomorrow could have a $50,000 septic bill where we can’t . . . we’re not part of this public/private type of partnership but that may be a good solution, as Andrew said.

President Nicastro: Try and research that.

Mr. Tatarenko: Just to clarify, you know, the total cost of this project was under a million dollars. That total was not being put onto the residents as the Special Assessment. Only 55 percent of that cost was going to be included in that Special Assessment.

President Nicastro: That’s a good point.

Mr. Tatarenko: There was a small portion, I believe it was $71,000, which was for road resurfacing and curbing, which the general public was going to finance because that’s a general improvement, 55 percent was going to be the Special Assessment, and the other 45 percent was going to be paid through by the Water Utility through the . . .

President Nicastro: The Township.

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . sewer fees.

Mr. Semrau: Sewer Utility.
Mr. Tatarenko: Sewer Utility.

Mrs. Kolody: Sewer Utility.

President Nicastro: Yeah, sewer . . . Township, anyway.

Mr. Tatarenko: Sewer Utility through the sewer fees . . . and again, that was mirrored from the Budd Lake system, just so it was fair in what we did 20, 30 years ago, was done today. So, I just wanted to point that out.

President Nicastro: Mr. Roman.

Mr. Roman: Can we . . . Fred, can we move forward with approving doing the first . . . is this up for first reading or second?

Mr. Tatarenko: This is second already.

Mrs. Labow: This is second.

President Nicastro: This is second.

Mr. Semrau: This is the final . . . the final adoption, right.

Mr. Roman: If we table it, how long do we have to table before . . . is there anything that would happen if we table it for x amount of time that the Ordinance would automatically die?

Mr. Semrau: Well, from that standpoint, so long as you act on it before the year is out.

Mr. Roman: Okay.

President Nicastro: Should I . . . Colleen, Mrs. Labow.

Mrs. Labow: One of my concerns would be any delay, prices go up all the time. I would hate to wait a couple of months, only to have more . . . have the project cost more money.

President Nicastro: Understood.

Mrs. Labow: One thing and . . .

President Nicastro: I agree.

Mrs. Labow: . . . that would be a concern and the other thing is, how many years, Andrew, is it going to take for this project, before people have to start hooking up to it?

Mr. Tatarenko: The initial plan was that once construction is complete, the residents would have a year to decommission their septic, and tie into our system.

Mrs. Labow: So, if it started . . . construction started today, talking about at least a year before we would be to that point?

Mr. Tatarenko: My initial . . . I don’t have my schedule. If we started construction today, Gene, I think about three months of construction?

Mr. Buczynski: The decision was to try to go out to bid. The plans have been ready for months. It would go out to bid in April or May, so we thought we could get into construction during the summer season so we wouldn’t have problems with school buses. Probably, I think about three to four months at the most. So, we’re hoping to get the construction like in June, say June, July, August so now . . . you table it for several months, you just have to consider when you actually want to go into the project then. If it’s approved in three to four months from now, I think you’ll really want to go to next year to avoid the winter and you avoid interference with school buses.

Mrs. Labow: The gas company, when are they planning on putting their pipes in?

Mr. Tatarenko: I have a meeting with them next week, so I’ll have to revisit with them.
Mrs. Labow: Yeah, that’s a concern .

Mr. Buczynski: Well, we’re going to have them come in before the sewers.

Mr. Tatarenko: We wanted them to come in first but, you know .

Mrs. Labow: Right. We want them to come in first and you know, .

Mr. Tatarenko: I can’t .

Mrs. Labow: . . . be done with .

Mrs. Labow: . . . I can’t, you know, force them to get in there. If they don’t get in there .

Mrs. Labow: . . . right. Yeah. The other thing too, I just want to say that . . . I sell real estate, as Phyllis in the front row . . . she also, we have the same experiences. This past year, I’ve had four properties that unexpected . . . very unexpectedly, they had to put in a $50,000 system. There was one just right down the street. When they bought the house, everything was supposedly good. My people bought the house and when they did the inspection, it turned out what they had done years ago, it was a cesspool, and they modified it to be a septic but when they modified it, they put the pipe . . . was put up instead of down. They just really messed the whole thing up and here, these people, unfortunately, the seller . . . the husband had a terrible you know, situation with cancer, their finances were absolutely horrible, they were going from a beautiful home into an apartment because they couldn’t afford anything anymore and they had to put in a $50,000 septic system. It was really sad but these things are happening. I have another situation on the other side of Budd Lake, right now. Again, the people, they’re in Texas and they find out their septic failed twice. Fifty thousand dollars to put it in. You just don’t know when it’s going to happen. You think it’s working. You think there’s no problem and all of a sudden . . . and you can’t get a bond to pay off in 20 years. It’s like all of a sudden, you’ve got to find $50,000, and it doesn’t happen, you know? The other thing that happened; I always tell my own personal story. When they put in the Budd Lake sewer system, I bought my house, I heard we were going to get sewers. I kept waiting and waiting and waiting. Every . . . it got to be where every six weeks to eight weeks, I had to empty what I thought was a septic, but it was really a cesspool. We went through that for a couple of years. Finally, I just had to put the septic in, a new septic in and no sooner it got finished, we got the word that sewers were going in. I’m like . . . okay. I actually was the last one to be hooked up. I made sure I got as much use out of my septic as I could before but I love it. I really wanted sewers. I’m glad that I have sewers and just even with being in real estate, and seeing time and time again, with the issues with the failed septic and just . . . and the people, you hear the same thing, “I can’t believe it! It’s always worked! I have it emptied all the time! What do you mean my baffles are no good? What do you mean that my . . .?” I had situations where the distribution boxes were crushed because stuff got moved around the yard and a boulder was on top and that went. It’s just all these things you just don’t expect to have happen and it’s a huge, huge financial hardship. Last but not least, our wonderful State, a couple years ago, Matt’s laughing, we had this conversation Matt. You can’t pass title on your house if you have a cesspool. In Old Flanders, I know there’s about a dozen of the houses that actually have a cesspool, not a septic, for one thing. Also, you all, I’m finding out the hard way that some of the “cesspools” were . . . tried to be made into septics that aren’t . . . don’t pass the inspection. So, that’s the other problem. You can’t sell your house unless you have it put in, so if you think you have a system that’s working, you know, it’s just a gamble. It’s just really hard. I hope we can find a way to find the financial solution for our folks who are really stressed because it’s a horrible, horrible feeling to not be able to pay the bill, but it would be worse if you had to put in $50,000.

President Nicastro: Mr. Roman.

Mrs. Labow: It’s very difficult.

Mr. Roman: We all keep hearing that infrastructure, infrastructure, infrastructure . . . and everybody wants better roads, bridges, and such but when it comes time that we have to pay for it, that’s when we decide, maybe we don’t need it as much. EPA regulations, especially in this State of New Jersey, do not get less stringent. They get worse.

Mrs. Labow: They get worse, yeah.

Mr. Roman: All of a sudden, they can discover some mite in Old Flanders which requires now setbacks to be x amount and you have to raise your property three feet off the ground to protect something. With that being said, I recognize the hardship and the burden. I am all for tabling this Ordinance so that we can find new, more creative ways to lessen the financial burden of those in this area to hook up and maybe structure it in the way...
where the impact isn’t as much. Unfortunately, this can has been kicked down the road, up the street, and around the corner too many times. The day is going to come that we’re going to . . . that this Council is going to be judged if we do not act and move forward with this. It will be judged and the judgement is not going to be pretty because you’ve got, more than likely, those residents will be coming with pitchforks because why didn’t we act when we did? I, for one, my previous house was in a sewerage system. I was glad that it was because I know the expense of a septic system and I do not want to do that again. I would never want to go back to a septic system and thank goodness we have modern facilities where we have city water and sewer.

Mrs. Labow: Joe, I just thought of one other thing. Also, Old Flanders has . . . many, many times I’ve heard residents say how when the Township decided to put in public water, they just did it and all of a sudden you had public water. Well, I have to tell you there’s a new thing going around with well water these days called Gross Alpha, which is, essentially, it is radon in the water. That just came about and the State is now . . . as Alex was just saying, they keep making things more stringent. Now you have to have your well water tested for the Gross Alpha and you have to be treated for that. Thankfully, we have tested all of our, I’m Chair of the Board of Health too, so this has been a really big consideration in our Health Department and we tested all of the water for all of our public systems and everybody’s good. We had a system . . . there was a vacant house and it was sold. It got tested and it had a seriously high Gross Alpha and everybody in that neighborhood had to get tested for the Gross Alpha. Some had it; some didn’t. So, that’s another situation that comes up that you don’t even . . . all of a sudden, you have to have a couple thousand dollars system put in because your water needs . . . you need to get the . . . radon in the water is very dangerous, in my opinion. I’m not a . . . this is not my field but, you’re taking a shower and you have hot, steamy water and you’re breathing in. That scares me more than if it’s just in the house, in the air because it’s concentrated, going right in. Anyway, that’s just a point I wanted to make that here, nobody would’ve . . . didn’t even think about radon in your water, way back in the day. Now it’s a reality. So, thank God you guys have public water there. You don’t have to worry about that part. Thank you.

President Nicastro: Thank you. What I am suggesting . . . look, I’m sympathetic to both sides, believe me. I really believe that the Council is in favor of moving forward with this; there’s no question. The question is if there’s an opportunity for us to find a way to make it more cost effective. I don’t think anybody here, and I’m not speaking for all of us, are not saying we don’t want to move forward with it. I think we do, because obviously we got this far. The question becomes can we find a more economical way for the residents that have financial hardship which would make it, as the attorney was saying, Fred, if we could find a way to finance that for them, it makes it much easier. No one has to lay out that cash up front and it’s a small fee every year that we’re responsible for. You don’t have to worry about your credit and all. So, I think it’s something I would like to table it for 30 to 45 days, tops, to be able to come up with any solutions that we can and present it to people and say “Here’s an opportunity that we can present.” Like I said, I believe we’re all in favor of moving forward with it, but I want to exhaust every possibility we have first. That, you know, this is something that was just brought up and I know Fred might have something else he wants to bring up with it but, I think it’s . . . I’m going to . . . I would just suggest to the Council that we table it for 30 to 45 days until we can exhaust our possibilities. At that point, we’ll vote on it and in most cases, more than likely, we’re all in favor of it but I want to exhaust the possibilities of finding resources for the residents that are having hardship with it because I can sympathize and I know it will be difficult. Fred, did you have something else?

Mr. Semrau: Yeah, just from a timing standpoint . . . I think, you know, we have to be mindful of what the engineer’s saying and what the administrator is saying. I mean, I think we can put our heads together because there’s so much information that’s already been put together to try to address this issue and come back with an answer. Andrew, how long do you think? To keep things on track and to get an answer.

Mr. Tatarenko: I think before the next Council Meeting, we’ll know if we could restructure the financing somehow.

Mr. Semrau: Right.

Mr. Tatarenko: If we can, then we would have to probably redo the . . .

Mrs. Labow: Ordinance.

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . the Special Assessment Ordinance but that should still give Gene enough time to . . .

President Nicastro: So, two weeks is what we’re saying. Basically.

Mr. Tatarenko: Yeah.
President Nicastro: Mr. Roman.

Mr. Roman: Yeah, I wouldn’t put the 30 to 45 days . . .

President Nicastro: Right.

Mr. Roman: . . . to table it. I would rather table it until the Administration does their due diligence in finding alternative ways in order to finance the deal with the mindset that it would reduce the impact on the residents in that area.

President Nicastro: That’s a good suggestion.

Mr. Roman: So, with that being said, I make a motion that we table the Ordinance . . . Ordinance #9-2019.

Mr. Mania: I’ll second it.

Mr. Semrau: I just want to add, I understand what Mr. Roman is saying but if we could table it to a specific date, there’s two things we are able to do in between. For example, if we’re confident we can get an answer one way or the other, for the next meeting, and we list this for the next meeting and we announce this tonight, that also helps the Clerk’s Office because we’re able to just carry this.

Mrs. Labow: Yeah.

Mr. Semrau: At the same time, if we’re not ready, we can try to notify the governing body and the residents who are here, if we think we’re going to need more time but we can bring this back for discussion on April 2, 2019 if that would be okay. We may come back on the 2nd and have a solution that really addresses the concerns addressed, raised . . . or we can come back at the next meeting and in order to address the issues, we may need to reintroduce this and start all over again as well, but at least we have a benchmark for the 2nd of April. It doesn’t mean we would necessarily have to act but we’d bring it back hopefully to have some answers to the questions if . . . I defer to the administrator but we may . . . if we have no date, we’re going to have to start again and re-advertise because it’s a Bond Ordinance.

Mrs. Labow: Yeah.

Mr. Roman: I’ll amend my motion to table Ordinance #9-2019 to April 2, 2019.

President Nicastro: Do we have a second yet?

Mr. Mania: Yes.


Mrs. Labow: Yeah, I just had one more question. One question people were asking tonight was what was the rate of interest . . . rate? Finance charge . . . what is that, Sherry? For this.

Mrs. Kolody: The rate of the assessment correlates to the rate on the bond.

Mrs. Labow: Mhm.

Mrs. Kolody: So, since we have a great bond rating and right now, we have low interest rates, the interest rate that we’re going to then pass through to the ratepayers is going to be low. So, I think for . . . when I did the financial analysis, I figured between two and four.

Mrs. Labow: Between two and four, so that . . . two and four percent.

President Nicastro: Percent.

Mrs. Kolody: Yeah. It has to equal the rate that we are going to borrow the money.

President Nicastro: Correct.

Mrs. Labow: Right.
Mrs. Kolody: Okay.

Mrs. Labow: Which is a better rate than . . .

Mrs. Kolody: Absolutely.

Mrs. Labow: . . . most of us could . . .

President Nicastro: Yeah, that we can get anywhere else.

Mrs. Labow: . . . get anywhere else.

President Nicastro: … of the town’s credit. Any other discussion from Council?

Mr. Semrau: So, a vote here, Council President, is not to move forward with the Ordinance, it’s just to bring the discussion to the meeting of April 2, 2019 for a decision.

President Nicastro: Correct.

Mr. Semrau: Right.

President Nicastro: We’re all in favor of that.

Mr. Semrau: Yes.

President Nicastro: We have a Roll Call please?

ROLL CALL: Passed unanimously

President Nicastro: So, the meeting again, on April 2, 2019 will address this. We are going to do everything we can to address the concerns. Believe me, it’s something that we really will look into to see what we can do. We know it’s necessary to be done, but we don’t want to . . . do the best we can to make it less stressful on people, so, if we can get this done it’ll be much better for everyone involved. If you have . . . let me see where we are. I’ll get back to the . . . oh, I guess we can continue . . .

Mrs. Labow: We have questions.

President Nicastro: . . . with the public, yeah. If you have . . . you can go up there to the thing again, you have to just . . . we know who you are. Right? You already got that. Okay. Yup.

Ms. Anderson: Again, my name is Susan Anderson. I just have a question. Is there anything on the State or the Federal side that can help offset the cost of this to the homeowners?

Mr. Tatarenko: I haven’t been able to find anything.

Mrs. Labow: Yeah. We Looked.

President Nicastro: I mean, we look.

Mr. Amianda: Check with the Town.

Mrs. Labow: We’ve looked.

Mr. Semrau: Yeah, we’ve discussed, for example, like I know Andrew and I spoke about the Federal Government but unfortunately, it’s more rural communities and smaller communities that even qualify for applications.

Ms. Anderson: Mhm.

Mr. Semrau: It’s very . . . and if you don’t qualify, it’s not worth going through the process, you know?

Ms. Anderson: Right, right.
Mr. Semrau: We’ve looked at, you know, there’s like Department of Agriculture, believe it or not, has certain kinds of grant programs and things like that and all of them have said so far . . . at least, the ones we’re familiar with, that you have to be of a certain size and a certain economic makeup that the Township would qualify.

Ms. Anderson: Okay. The other question was, I know the cost was like . . . around $12,000 approximately for the homeowner to hook up. Does that include closing off our current septic? Or is there something that we need to do after the fact?

Mr. Tatarenko: That estimate was to close the septic and to connect your service line from the house to the gravity pot.

Ms. Anderson: Okay, but do we have to have the septic pumped and other issues with it?

Mrs. Labow: That’s . . .

Mr. Tatarenko: Well, that’s part of the whole closure . . .

Ms. Anderson: Oh, okay.

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . process.

Mrs. Labow: Yes.

Ms. Anderson: All that’s covered?

President Nicastro: Yeah.

Ms. Anderson: Okay.

President Nicastro: Yeah.

Ms. Anderson: All right, thank you.

President Nicastro: Thank you. Yeah.

Mr. Buckley: Hello. I’m Sam Buckley, 11 Park Place, Flanders. I like this idea about potentially lumping the closure of the septic systems into the overall because that does decrease the upfront cost for people that are going to be like, “How do I get that money?” The other thing that we all know, is if we club something like that together, the $12,000 cost for somebody to do an individual system might come down considerably because you’re going to do all the systems at once with one or two contractors, versus individual contractors having to mobilize individually. So, I just think that there’s a potential big cost savings there.

President Nicastro: Yup.

Mr. Buckley: Thank you.

President Nicastro: Correct, thank you.

Mr. Petzinger: John Petzinger, 70 Main Street. I don’t want to take up too much more time. I think everybody’s in favor for the sewers. We’re not in favor for the, you know, finances. As you had mentioned, Mr. Roman, it’s been . . . kicked down the road six years, right?

Mr. Roman: More than six years.

Mr. Petzinger: So why hasn’t a more creative cost to alleviate . . . or alleviate the cost to the homeowners not been figured out yet? That’s my question to you.

Mr. Roman: Well, one, it’s been kicked down the road more than six years and this . . .

Mr. Petzinger: Okay.

Mr. Roman: . . . is the furthest that we’ve ever been. So, that’s why we’re at this point now. Every time we’ve gotten before this point, that previous Councils, and I was part of them, backed down. You know, we decided,
you know, it’s an expense; it’s too much. For whatever reasons, we backed down. This is the furthest that we’ve
gone and so now that we have for all, fixed numbers, then we can actually go and, you know, try to see if we
can come up with some more creative financing items.

Mrs. Labow: There were other proposals that were more expensive.

President Nicastro: Much higher.

Mr. Roman: Much higher.

Mrs. Labow: Much, much higher. Much higher.

Mr. Petzinger: Okay. That very well may be, but here we are talking about, you know, doing other things.
Why haven’t these avenues been addressed? I just hope you guys can come up with something clever enough to
reduce this cost. If I can be of any assistance, you know, Andrew has my number. I’ll do what I can on my end.
Just for the record, I don’t think anybody here is against the project. It’s the cost.

President Nicastro: Correct.

Mr. Petzinger: So, I just wanted to make that note. Thank you.

President Nicastro: We appreciate that.

Mr. Roman: Thank you.

Mr. Webb: I just have a question and this might be more a question to you. I know what kind of septic system
is at the house at 22 Park Place. So, we’re talking about cost. Maybe not everybody, and if somebody has a
leach field and stuff like that may not be addressed but, is the homeowner allowed to close the septic system up
himself?

Mr. Tatarenko: Off hand, I don’t know. I would think not. I think you need a certified . . .

Mrs. Labow: It has to be . . .

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . contractor . . .

Mrs. Labow: Yeah.

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . to close it in.

Mr. Semrau: Yeah.

Mrs. Labow: There’s a . . .

Mr. Tatarenko: A licensed . . .

Mrs. Labow: . . . procedure . . .

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . septic . . .

Mrs. Labow: . . . DEP . . .

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . operator.

Mrs. Labow: . . . has a . . .

Mr. Tatarenko: Yeah.

Mrs. Labow: . . . like a whole bunch of guidelines to follow, right?

Mr. Tatarenko: Yeah.
Mr. Webb: So, if you . . . just say, I can plumb my own house. I can file for a plumbing permit. I’m not a plumber but I can do my own plumbing.

Mr. Tatarenko: Right.

Mrs. Labow: You file for a permit and it gets inspected.

Mr. Webb: Correct. So, if I filed for a permit to close my septic system and it was inspected and I met the criteria of the guidelines . . .

Mrs. Labow: We’ll have to find out what’s . . .

Mr. Mania: Let Gene, . . .

Mr. Tatarenko: My engineer is coming up . . .

Mr. Mania: . . . let Gene answer.

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . so, I’m sure he has a . . .

President Nicastro: Yeah, yeah.

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . technical answer.

Mr. Roman: I think here’s an example of the State of New Jersey EPA Regulations.

President Nicastro: Yeah, I think it would be opening . . .

Mrs. Labow: That drive you crazy.

President Nicastro: . . . up a can of worms.

Mr. Buczynski: As long as it’s closed in accordance with the DEP regulations, then it’s accepted by the Health Department. It’s got to be in strict accordance with the DEP regulations.

Mr. Tatarenko: So, I’m not sure if you as a homeowner know how to comply . . .

President Nicastro: Right.

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . with the DEP regulations.

Mr. Webb: Right, but if I researched it . . .

Mrs. Labow: Yeah.

Mr. Webb: . . . and were able to.

Mr. Tatarenko: The Health Department signs off on it . . .

Mrs. Labow: Yeah.

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . and shows that you met that criteria.

Mrs. Labow: Yeah, talk to Bob Stahlhut in the Health Department.

Mr. Webb: You can defer that cost.

Mrs. Labow: He does our septics.

Mr. Tatarenko: Yes.

Mr. Webb: Is that not correct?
Mr. Roman: I’m sure there’s a YouTube video out there showing you how to do it.

Mr. Webb: Yeah, there’s something for everybody out there but, just another question, I mean . . . you know, if you’re . . .

Mr. Tatarenko: I’ll talk to the Health Department and see what’s involved.

Mr. Webb: Particularly, that was in my mind. You know, because I can hire that man right there to go get me a load of certified, you know, fill to clean in and I can hire somebody to pump the system. I can jackhammer the bottom of the floor out so it’s, you know . . . you know, whatever the criteria is. I don’t know if that’s an answer for anybody else out there but it was . . . looking at trying to be one of my answers to defer cost.

Mr. Tatarenko: Okay.

President Nicastro: Correct. We’ll find out. Yes sir.

Mr. Johnston: Matt Johnston, 19 Park Place. Question for Mr. Semrau. I don’t think we’ve heard anyone here say that they’re against the project. Can’t you bifurcate this Ordinance? In other words, can’t you . . . really, what we’re talking about is not the cost of the septic, excuse me, the sewer system being installed. It’s the cost for the homeowners to install it themselves. That’s the issue right now. That’s been the issue. Isn’t there any way to keep the process moving, bifurcate this, so that you can address that particular issue which can be addressed in a different Ordinance down the road because it’s separate and apart from this Ordinance, so that this doesn’t hold things up? My fear has always been that this is going to stop and all you need is, you know, a couple things to happen and this is going to slow it down, we’re not going to get the sewers installed this summer and I think it’s a paramount thing that we move forward with the project; we just address the real issue, which is the cost to the community.

Mr. Semrau: Right.

Mr. Johnston: The individual community members . . .

Mr. Semrau: Yeah, I think to answer your . . .

Mr. Johnston: . . . later.

Mr. Semrau: . . . question, I understand the question and it’s a good question, just like you raised a good point about the separate cost, but . . . before the governing body and the members of the public hear a decision, I think we should have that fact there as well so that if there’s residents concerned, and we do have a solution, they’ll know what we’re getting into. If we can’t help in that situation, then that should also be disclosed to the governing body before they vote but we’ve got a real short timeline. Very aggressive . . . to try to stay on track but at the same time, to get an answer to that aspect that’s been raised. It seems to be really highlighted tonight as the difference, you know. So, you know, we’re . . .

Mr. Tatarenko: Yeah, also, . . .

Mr. Semrau: . . . going to be right on it.

Mr. Tatarenko: . . . if that part of the project can be included in the Special Assessment, then those numbers in the Special Assessment need to be all redone anyway.

Mr. Semrau: Yeah, we might have to . . .

Mr. Johnston: So, it’s not realistic to bifurcate this?

Mr. Semrau: . . . it might. It might be the case. We would still want to present that to the governing body as this is part one, and there’ll be part two but as the administrator said, but if Bond Counsel tells us or instructs us that we have to do this all at once, we’d be ahead of ourselves if we do that.

Mr. Johnston: I will . . .

Mr. Semrau: Yeah.

Mr. Johnston: . . . defer to you.
Mr. Semrau: Yeah.

Mr. Johnston: I would just like to remind the Board that is here; the Council, this has been a long time and there are going to be people at some point that are going to have serious situations if their septic fails between now and the time that this is approved. That’s a very real possibility and if it does happen to one of the people here, whether they have the financial ability or not, they’re going to have to deal with it.

Mr. Semrau: Right.

Mr. Johnston: I would hate to see, after all the time that this Town has put into this . . .

Mr. Semrau: Yeah.

Mr. Johnston: . . . to let it fall apart over something that you could probably deal with in different ways.

Mr. Semrau: Yeah, no, I understand. I think a two week turn around is very ambitious and we’re going to try to . . . we’re going to try to hold to that.

Mr. Johnston: Well, thank you for your time. I certainly appreciate it.

Mr. Malcolm: Hi, Brian Malcolm, 67 Main Street. I have, I guess my question is more directed to the engineer . . . actually, two questions. Power for the pumps . . . where is that being supplied from?

Mr. Buczynski: The home.

Mr. Malcolm: From the home.

President Nicastro: Gene . . .

Mr. Roman: Unfortunately, you’ve got . . .

President Nicastro: . . . Gene, you’re going to have to come up, Gene.

Mr. Roman: Serves you right for walking all the way back there.

Mr. Mania: Sit in the front, Gene.

Mr. Buczynski: I need the exercise. With the grinder pump, there’s an electrical unit that’s going to be placed against the house.

Mr. Malcolm: Okay.

Mr. Buczynski: You know, the loop is going to be tied in.

Mr. Malcolm: So, it will be pre-installed and you just have to tie in when you do the hook up.

Mr. Buczynski: The homeowner’s responsible for the connection, okay?

Mr. Malcolm: Right. The same time you close the septic, you would hook into the . . .

Mr. Buczynski: Correct.

Mr. Malcolm: Okay. I’m right by the bridge.

Mr. Buczynski: I think we’ve talked before, yes.

Mr. Malcolm: You know what bridge I’m talking about?

Mr. Buczynski: Oh, yeah.

Mr. Malcolm: No, actually you’ve talked to my neighbor.

Mr. Buczynski: Okay.
Mr. Malcolm: I just have concerns because there is a . . . there was, before the road got paved, there was a line going right across, it looked like where the bridge ended, okay . . . and I can tell you exactly where that line was because if I go across the street and look at . . .

Mr. Buczynski: What kind of line?

Mr. Malcolm: . . . my foundation . . . a demarcation line; like a crack in the pavement.

Mr. Buczynski: Okay.

Mr. Malcolm: I can go and look at my foundation and there’s a corresponding crack right there.

Mr. Buczynski: Okay.

Mr. Malcolm: My only concern is, you know, what’s going to happen? They’re going to be digging deep?

Mr. Buczynski: In that area, we’re doing directional drilling.

Mr. Malcolm: Okay.

Mr. Buczynski: Okay, so we’re not excavating across the culvert. They’re going to be probably, what is it, 60 or 70 feet in each side? You know? Nothing? Sixty feet in each side is where . . . there’s going to be a pit in the roadway, and they’re going to directional drill underneath the culvert.

Mr. Malcolm: Sixty feet . . .

Mr. Buczynski: On each . . . 60 feet on each side of the culvert because they only can . . . we’re going to be directional drilling and you only can drill like it’s a ten feet for every two feet, you go down. So, they’re going to be like 60 feet away from the culvert. They’re going to directional drill going underneath the culvert and come back up.

Mr. Malcolm: I’m going to talk to you a little bit afterwards . . .

Mr. Buczynski: Sure.

Mr. Malcolm: . . . okay. Thank you. Thank you.

President Nicastro: Thank you, anyone else at this time? Okay. I’m confident Administration and Sherry Kolody will look into this quickly and get us an answer back and I’m sure we’ll hopefully have some other options in two weeks. Okay. Moving on. We have 12 Consent Resolutions. Does anyone have any questions on those? Seeing none. Mr. Mania, would you move Resolutions . . .

Mrs. Labow: Joe.

President Nicastro: I’m sorry.

Mr. Mania: Thank you . . .

Mrs. Labow: I think maybe take a couple minutes . . .

President Nicastro: Okay, whoever wants to leave can leave.

Mrs. Labow: Sorry.

President Nicastro: That’s all right.

Mrs. Labow: I just saw . . .

President Nicastro: I didn’t see them getting up.

Mrs. Labow: Yeah, some people.

President Nicastro: We’re almost through anyway.
CONSENT RESOLUTIONS AGENDA

Resolutions on the Consent Agenda List are considered to be routine and non-controversial by the Township Council and will be approved by one motion (one vote). There will be no separate discussion or debate on each of these resolutions except for the possibility of brief clarifying statements that may be offered. If one or more Council member requests, any individual resolution on the Consent Agenda may be removed from the Consent Agenda List and acted on separately.

PUBLIC PORTION ON CONSENT RESOLUTIONS

2. A Resolution of the Township of Mount Olive, in the County of Morris and State of New Jersey, Extending a Facility Use Agreement Between the Township of Mount Olive and New Jersey JCC Metrowest.

3. Resolution of the Township Council of the Township of Mount Olive Authorizing Change Order No. 1 to the Contract With Brave Industrial Paint, LLC for Bid #25-2018 Coating of the 0.75MG Sutton Plaza Water Storage Tank.

4. A Resolution of the Township of Mount Olive, in the County of Morris and State of New Jersey, Authorizing and Directing the Township Planning Board to Conduct a Preliminary Investigation to Determine Whether the Proposed Study Area, Combe Fill North Landfill (Block 4100, Lot 10), Qualifies as an Area in Need of Non-Condemnation Redevelopment Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-1 Et Seq.


6. Resolution of the Township Council of the Township of Mount Olive Approving a Vendor Service Contract on a “Non-Fair and Open” Basis Pursuant to the “Pay-to-Play” Law. (Reiner Group, Inc.)


8. Resolution of the Township Council of the Township of Mount Olive Awarding a Professional Service Agreement Under the Non-Fair and Open Contracts in Accordance with the Pay-to-Play Law. (Newark, Knight, Frank Valuation and Advisory)

9. Resolution of the Township Council of the Township of Mount Olive Closing a Portion of Waterloo Valley Road for Construction.

10. Resolution of the Township Council of the Township of Mount Olive Authorizing the Use of the 2019 Distracted Driving Statewide Crackdown Grant.

11. Resolution of the Township Council of the Township of Mount Olive Authorizing Andrew Tatarenko, Business Administrator to Execute a TWA Application for 2 Laurel Drive Septic System.

12. Resolution to adopt the 2019 – Municipal Budget.

13. Resolution to adopt the 2019 – Sanitation District Budget.

President Nicastro: Continuing, we had . . . Mr. Mania was moving Resolutions two through thirteen.

Mr. Mania: That’s correct, Mr. Chairman.

President Nicastro: Do we have a second?

Mrs. Labow: Second.


Mr. Roman: Just in case . . .
President Nicastro: Yes.

Mr. Roman: I’ll move Resolutions two through thirteen because I don’t think I heard that. Just in case.

Mrs. Labow: Second.

President Nicastro: Do we have any other discussion? Anyone from the public? Closed to the public. Roll Call please.

ROLL CALL: Passed unanimously

President Nicastro: Mr. Roman, would you please move the Bill List?

MOTIONS

1. Bill List.

Mr. Roman: I move for approval, the Bill List.

President Nicastro: Do we have a . . .

Mr. Mania: Second.


ROLL CALL: Passed unanimously

President Nicastro: We have two appointments by the Mayor . . . we need a Roll Call on that, don’t we? . . . is Planning Board: Catherine Natafalusy, Member Class IV, three-year unexpired term, expires 12/31/2021. Environmental Committee: Catherine Natafalusy, Planning Board Liaison, three-year unexpired term 12/31/2021. Do we have a motion to accept those two appointments?

Motion made and seconded. All in favor, none opposed.

President Nicastro: Anyone, discussion? Roll Call please.

ROLL CALL: Passed unanimously

President Nicastro: We have one appointment from Council for Ethics Committee. Lewis Candura, five-year, five-year term expires 12/31/2023. Do we have a motion to accept?

Mr. Mania: So moved.

Mrs. Labow: Wait a minute. You can’t close the door.

President Nicastro: Oh yeah, one of them has got to stay open.

Mrs. Labow: It has to stay open.

President Nicastro: He’s got to tell them to . . .

Mr. Quinn: How’s that?

Mr. Roman: Yeah.

Mrs. Labow: That’s better.

Mr. Roman: Technically, yeah.

Mrs. Labow: It’s open.

President Nicastro: It’s open. It’s open. Do we have a second?
Mrs. Labow: Second.

President Nicastro: Any discussion? Roll Call please.

ROLL CALL: Passed unanimously

President Nicastro: Administrative Reports.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

Mr. Tatarenko: Nothing tonight.


OLD BUSINESS – none

NEW BUSINESS – none

LEGAL MATTERS

Mr. Semrau: Council President, we have four Legal Matters we’d like to go over tonight in Executive Session. They’re all under pending litigation. So, I’ll pass on my report otherwise. Thank you.

President Nicastro: Thank you. Council Reports. Mr. Amianda, anything on Board of Education or Seniors?

COUNCIL REPORTS

Board of Education Liaison Report
Senior Citizen Liaison

Mr. Amianda: Yes, Mr. President. Board of Education held a special meeting or Board Retreat on Sunday, March 10, 2019 at the Administration Building at 9:00am. The Board Attorney reviewed the Board’s role and responsibilities. The Superintendent of Schools reviewed his entry plan and the district’s goals. Mount Olive Senior Citizens Club celebrated Saint Patrick’s Day today on Tuesday, March 19, 2019 at 11:30am. Seniors appreciate what the Mayor and Council are doing for them and that’s the end of my report.

President Nicastro: Thank you, very much. Mr. Ferrante, anything on Lake, Environmental, or Library?

Environmental Committee - none
Lake Issues - none
Library Board Liaison - none

Mr. Ferrante: Nothing.

President Nicastro: Mrs. Labow, anything on . . . where are you? Open Space, Board of Health or Stigma? I’m sorry.

Open Space Committee Report
Board of Health Report
Stigma Committee

Mrs. Labow: Open Space, we had our meeting last week and we had reached out to Andrew Tatarenko and to Sue Sharpe to get information for the one land donation that we’re waiting for. We got some movement on that, which is very exciting. We have two scouts that are being . . . getting their Eagle Scouts coming up in the next couple of months. They did projects with Open Space and so that is very exciting also. We’re so excited too because we finally were able to start using the equipment in the conference room so we can put our maps up on the screen . . .

President Nicastro: There ya go.

Mrs. Labow: . . . and see everything. We missed not having our maps in there. So, that was all good and we’re also working on some other projects getting to the bottom line, I just wanted to say that the scouts who . . .
Colin McCutcheon and Christopher Solowski... is that? Solowski... both did projects with the members of the Open Space Committee so that’s... that’s really exciting all the way around.

**President Nicastro:** Very nice.

**Mrs. Labow:** All the scouts do great jobs when they...

**President Nicastro:** Yeah, they do a lot of work.

**Mrs. Labow:**... they all do but it’s really nice with the... you know, with... that you’re joining forces with projects that you’re trying to get done. The other thing, the Board of Health, we had to cancel our meeting, we’re going to meet tomorrow night and just to reiterate what I said earlier with the meeting. We’re still working on the Gross Alpha issues around Town, making sure everybody has ability to have their well tested. Then we have the Stigma Free. I just want to say that County College, they have several students that are writing articles and, you know, talking about their own situations in their life when they felt that they were stigmatized and rising above it and doing really well. So, it’s... it’s in more and more towns and school districts are taking on the Stigma Free pledge and I think it’s a wonderful thing that more and more people are becoming aware of it. That’s it.

**President Nicastro:** Thank you, very much. Mr. Mania, anything on Legislative or Planning?

Legislative Committee Report – none
Planning Board Report

**Mr. Mania:** Planning Board, we approved a moving company that came in from Hackensack and they’re going to build a warehouse on Waterloo Road.

**President Nicastro:** Okay. Thank you. Mr. Roman, anything on Recreation or Pride?

Recreation Liaison Report - none
Pride Committee - none

**Mr. Roman:** Nothing to report.

Economic Development Committee Report - none
Community Action Panel Report - none

**President Nicastro:** Thank you. Anyone from the public wish to be heard at this time? Closed to the public.

**Council Comments, Mr. Amianda?**

**Mr. Amianda:** None.

**President Nicastro:** Mr. Ferrante.

**Mr. Ferrante:** None.

**President Nicastro:** Mrs. Labow.

**Mrs. Labow:** I say welcome back Mr. Mania.

**Mr. Mania:** Thank you.

**Mrs. Labow:** Good to have you.

**President Nicastro:** Mr. Mania.

**Mr. Mania:** None.

**President Nicastro:** Mr. Roman.

**Mr. Roman:** I’d like to thank whoever put my name on a list. I am now getting coupons for AARP and such.
Mrs. Labow: Woohoo!

Mr. Roman: Thank you.

President Nicastro: It’s starts early, doesn’t it?

Mr. Mania: You’re very fortunate.

President Nicastro: Do we have a motion? Oh, wait. We have to go . . . Mr. Mania, we’re going into Executive Session.

Mr. Mania: Okay.

President Nicastro: If you would get us into Executive Session, at that point, we’ll ask everyone in the Chambers to leave for the session. You’re welcome back after . . . but we’ll be done with the Agenda.

Mr. Mania: According to sections 7 and 8 of the Open Public Meetings Act, I make a motion we move into Executive Session to discuss Combe Landfill noting that the minutes will be available upon conclusion of the issue.

Mr. Amianda: Second.

Mr. Semrau: If I may, just also add the matter of Red Maple Lane. That’s under attorney/client privilege and also the matter of . . .

Mr. Mania: Sayonara.

Mr. Semrau: . . . what is it? The Gold Mine.

Mrs. Sharpe: Gold Mine Motel.

Mr. Semrau: Gold Mine Motel and under contract, the sale of the 57 acres.

President Nicastro: Okay.

Mr. Semrau: Council President, I don’t believe there’ll be any action after the Executive Session.

President Nicastro: Correct.

Mr. Semrau: Okay.

President Nicastro: Nothing. Okay.

Mr. Roman: We need a . . .

President Nicastro: Goodnight. It’s fine. We’re just closing. We’re done. We have nothing else.

Executive Session began at 8:36pm and the Public Meeting resumed at 9:09pm.

President Nicastro: Do we have a motion to . . . will someone make a motion to take us out of Executive Session?

Mrs. Labow: So moved.

Mr. Mania: Second.

Motion made and seconded. All in favor, none opposed.

President Nicastro: I’ve got to reopen again, don’t I?

Mr. Semrau: Yeah.

President Nicastro: I open the Council Meeting for March 19, 2019.
Mrs. Labow: Yup.

President Nicastro: Do we have a motion to adjourn?

ADJOURNMENT - Motion made and seconded. All in favor, none opposed, the meeting was adjourned at 9:09pm.

Joe Nicastro, Council President

I, Michelle Masser, Township Clerk of Mount Olive do hereby certify that the foregoing Minutes are a true and correct copy of the Minutes approved at a legally convened meeting of the Mount Olive Township Council duly held on April 2, 2018.

Michelle Masser, Township Clerk